TUP SELIKET

CHAL-0749 Copy (of 13)

21 Depremier 1959

ALMONATIVE FOR: Acting Chief, Development Projects Division

THERET

: Chief, Administrative Aranch, 1990

UNITED

Proposed Action to Combet Uninversible Publicity Appearing in Assolute Press and UPI MILETIA Conserving Project CEALIDE

in paragraph 5 to be conserved in by the Acting Chief, DFD.

Attached for your information is a photostatic copy of an erticle appearing is the <u>longining Star-Bulletin</u>, dated to deptember 1979 (Attachment A) and also a copy of the text of a UPI Bulletin, dateline Sometable 10 deptember, which was picked circuit through the base communications system (Attachment B) both of which deal with the Project CHALICE mission. We have also been advised that a similar mass article appeared 13 deptember in an Athana English daily namegaper. As yet, we have no indication that the information was published in any other organ.

25X1A6a

25X1A9a

in these news articles have been discussed in detail with and a cleared representative of a cleared representative of the care articles were not as a result of a leakage of Project Chaliff information, but instead were obviously prospeed by the appearance of an ARC U-2 aircreate at Hexan AFA, Ropolulu, Remail, in connection with a Project DISCARRE retrieval effort during August 1979. This matter was publicated in the 15 August 1979 edition of the Emphalia Afrontiner (Attendment C). As you may recall, the DFD maturized ARC and AFAD to utilize the U-2 for this purpose, endject to the community altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of maximum altitude restriction of 5,000 feet to prevent disclosure of 1,000 feet to 1,000 feet 1,00

25X9A5

4. The "no comment" by USAF, Washington, B.C., officials referred to in the UFI Dulletin is equally unfortunate. We understand that this was issued by Air Force Information Services, as a result of a telephone call from a Washington UFI representative on the evening of 11 September. Although we have not ascertained that specifically was said by the content of this occusion, we do understand that the UFI Bulletin took his statement out of content and did not reflect the true commonstation of his words. Obviously, had proper coordination been effected, this would have been an opportune time to rebut the article.

- 5. On the occasion of the earlier August 1959 homelals advertices esticle the DPD considered an approach to AND requesting that they consider declarations of the IR capability in the ARDO U-2. ARDO agreed, and the IRD had under consideration amounting to the press the true IR sission of the ARDO U-2 on occasion of the next DIRCOYDERS retrieval effort. In our opinion this should be done notwithstanding the possibility of a future clandestined U-2 IR requirement.
- 5. There are certain statements and implications appearing in the article by Mr. Mark Saters which are untrue. They are:
 - The ARDS U-2 operating from Blokes AFR was not pointed black, but instead was silver colored with standard Air Force markings.
 - b. The Air Force has never operated a U-2 sir-
 - There has never been a U-2 sireraft on Foresea other than on the occasion of an energency landing in September 1958.
 - 4. It would be suicided for may airmen to ride the wings of a U-S aircraft on take-off.
 - The V-2 operating from Hicken AFB was not expensed in a classical overflight of Chinese territory.

 25X9A5

7. It is our baller, therefore, that we will service represents nothing more than good research of newspaper norgan files partaining to the U-2 mirroreft, confused to some extent with information he may have obtained on Fermion concerning the program utilising the program utilising the

25X1X7

Intemponable reporting and rank semantionalism. From that we



Approved For Release 2001/08/17: CIA-RDP33-02415A000200340002-9

TAD CEORT

25X9A5

this is in coshave been shie to learn concerning formity with his character. We believe, however, that the article is extremely desecting to the Project, and it is for this remon that we regarded as affirmative rebuttal, rather then a "no comment" expressed to the problem at this time. It is our belief that there are many responsible-type newspayerson in the United States who for prestime may have been suspent of our operations, but because they are Americans first and nevepaperson second, have thosen not to pry but to tastead accept the given explanations. It is feared that may represent an indication that there has been a "lest" and that they need no longer exercise discretion. It is our belief that by careful relatital, we say inform these gestlemen the named in which the United States Government desires to somehole this incident.

25X9A5

5. With your consurrance we will proceed with the following action:

25X9A5 25X9A5

signature of Brig Director Director of Public Information, IAIF, addressed to the editor of the Bonolula Ster-Bulletin pointing out the false information in article and the endearrowsment to the U. S. (overseen) caused by such irresponsible reporting and leaving the editor to take such extion as he sees fit. (See Attochment D)

25X9A5

contact the UPI convecting the false competation given to his words in the UPI bulletin and also pointing out the false information in the Star-Bulletin article.

and U-2 in conjumnation with the mant DIECOVERSE retrieval effort.

25X1A9a

Describy CITY of the State of t

TON OFORT

TOP CLONET

inte

CONTRACTO

Acting Cities, DWD-DD/P

CONTRACTIONS

MED COMME CATTLES

Attectments:
As cital

Distribution:

- 1 & 2 Addresses
- 1 & 4 Mb/?
 - 5 CII/Admin/1470
 - 6 COPE 087/127
 - 1 (04/070
- O B # END/Secur
 - 10 MAN Secur CHAL Chrons